

Clueing terms to models

Variations on nbe.

G. Allais

- * NBE is a form of model construction
- * It requires extra structure because we want to be able to extract syntactical objects from the model

$$\text{reify}_\sigma : \mathcal{M}_\sigma \rightarrow NF_\sigma$$

Ex: SK-calculus (simply-typed)

$$\sigma, \tau, \dots ::= \mathbb{N} \mid \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{N} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} = \mathcal{M}_{\sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\tau} \end{array} \right.$$

$$t, u, \dots ::= K_{\sigma, \tau} : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma$$

$$| S_{\sigma, \tau, u} : (\sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow u) \rightarrow (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow u$$

$$| _ \$ _ : (\sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

$$\llbracket _ \rrbracket : T_{M\sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}\sigma$$

$$\llbracket K_{\sigma, \tau} \rrbracket = \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

$$\llbracket S_{\sigma, \tau, u} \rrbracket = \lambda g. \lambda f. \lambda x. g x (f x)$$

$$\llbracket t \$ u \rrbracket = \llbracket t \rrbracket \llbracket u \rrbracket$$

But how do we go from \mathcal{Y}_σ to NF_σ ?

\Rightarrow Coquand & Dybjer 98 taught us how to do it.

$$t_{,u,\dots} ::= K_{\sigma,2} \mid K_{\sigma,2} \$ t \\ \mid S_{\sigma,2,u} \mid S_{\sigma,2,u} \$ t \mid S_{\sigma,2,u} \$ t \$ u$$

$$\mathcal{M}_N = NF_N$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\sigma \rightarrow 2} = NF_{\sigma \rightarrow 2} \times \mathcal{Y}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_2$$

$$\llbracket K_{\sigma,2} \rrbracket = \langle K_{\sigma,2}, \lambda x. \langle K_{\sigma,2} \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } \text{reify}_{\sigma} x, \lambda y. x \rangle \rangle$$

$$\llbracket S_{\sigma,2,u} \rrbracket =$$

$$\langle S_{\sigma,2,u}, \lambda g.$$

$$\langle S_{\sigma,2,u} \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } \text{reify}_{\sigma} g, \lambda f.$$

$$\langle S_{\sigma,2,u} \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } \text{reify}_{\sigma} g \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } \text{reify}_{\sigma} f, \lambda x. g \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } x \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } (fx) \rangle \rangle$$

$$\llbracket t \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } u \rrbracket = \llbracket t \rrbracket \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } \llbracket u \rrbracket$$

where $\langle t, f \rangle \text{ } \text{\textcircled{f}} \text{ } x = f x$

$$\text{reify}_N t = t$$

$$\text{reify}_{\sigma \rightarrow 2} \langle t, f \rangle = t$$

$$\text{norm}_\sigma : \mathbb{T}_{M_\sigma} \rightarrow NF_\sigma$$

$$\text{norm}_\sigma t = \text{reify}_\sigma \llbracket t \rrbracket$$

Quick remark:

$$\mathcal{H}_\sigma = \mathcal{NF}_\sigma \times \mathcal{Y}_\sigma^*$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\text{in}}^* = \perp$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}^* = \mathcal{H}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\tau$$

$$\text{STLC} \quad t, u, \dots ::= x \mid \lambda x. t \mid t \$ u$$

$$\text{NF: } t, u ::= \lambda x. t \mid m_N$$

$$\text{NE: } m, n ::= x \mid m \$ t$$

$$\mathcal{J}_N = \text{NF}_N \quad \mathcal{J}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} = \mathcal{J}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_\tau$$

$$\text{reify}_N t = t \quad \text{reify}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} f = \lambda x. \text{reify}_\tau (f (\text{reflect}_\sigma x))$$

$$\text{reflect}_N m = m \quad \text{reflect}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} m = \lambda x. \text{reflect}_\tau (m \$ \text{reify}_\sigma x)$$

But what if we don't want the η -rule?

$$\mathcal{A}_\sigma = NE_\sigma \oplus NF_\sigma \times \mathcal{A}_\sigma^*$$

$$\mathcal{A}_\mathbb{N}^* = \underline{1}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}^* = \mathcal{A}_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\tau$$

$$\text{reify}_\sigma (\text{inj}_\tau m) = m$$

$$\text{reify}_\sigma (\text{inj}_\tau \langle t, f \rangle) = t$$

$$\text{reflect}_\sigma m = \text{inj}_\tau m$$

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket_{\rho} = \text{Tr}_{m_{\sigma}} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\sigma}$$

$$\llbracket x \rrbracket_{\rho} = f(x)$$

$$\llbracket \lambda x. t \rrbracket_{\rho} = \langle \lambda x. \text{ref}_{y_2}(\llbracket \text{reflect}_{\sigma} x \rrbracket), f \rangle$$

where $f = \lambda x. \llbracket t \rrbracket_{(\rho, x)}$

$$\llbracket t \ \$ u \rrbracket_{\rho} = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\rho} \ \$ \llbracket u \rrbracket_{\rho}$$

where $\text{inj}_1 m \ \$ u = \text{inj}_1(m \ \$ \text{ref}_{y_1} u)$
 $\text{inj}_2 \langle t, f \rangle \ \$ u = f u$

And then the usual thing:

$$\text{norm}_\sigma t = \text{reify}_\sigma \llbracket t \rrbracket []$$

decides β equality but not η .

Weak-head normal form

$$\text{WHNF} : t, u, \dots ::= \lambda x. t \mid m$$

$$\text{WHNE} : m, n, \dots ::= x \mid m \$ t$$

First options: use embeddings to forget some structure

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{emb}_{\sigma}^{\text{NF}} : \text{WHNF}_{\sigma} \rightarrow T_{m_{\sigma}} \\ \text{emb}_{\sigma}^{\text{NE}} : \text{WHNE}_{\sigma} \rightarrow T_{m_{\sigma}} \end{array} \right.$$

But what if we want $x \$ ((\lambda x. x) \$ y)$

not to be reduced to $x \$ y$?

⇒ We need to, somehow, be able to cancel reductions in the model!

$$\mathcal{J}_\sigma = T_{m_\sigma} \times \left[\text{WHNE}_\sigma \oplus \text{WHNE}_\sigma \times \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \right]$$

Source term
to cancel all
reductions

similar to previous
example for an η -free
reduction relation.

$$\llbracket x \rrbracket \rho = f(x)$$

$$\llbracket \lambda x.t \rrbracket \rho = \langle \lambda x.t, \text{inj}_2 \langle \lambda x.t, \lambda x. \llbracket t \rrbracket (\rho, x) \rangle \rangle$$

$$\llbracket t \ \$ u \rrbracket \rho = \llbracket t \rrbracket \rho \ \$ \llbracket u \rrbracket \rho$$

where

$$\langle t, \text{inj}_1 m \rangle \ \$ \langle u, - \rangle = \langle t \ \$ u, \text{inj}_1 (m \ \$ u) \rangle$$

$$\langle t, \text{inj}_2 (t, f) \rangle \ \$ u = \langle t \ \$ \bar{u}_1 u, \bar{u}_2 (f u) \rangle$$

Conclusions:

- * Adding structure to models to facilitate reification
- * Deciding more exotic reduction relations than the usual $\beta\eta$

Sorry for the poor writing.

Do you have any questions?

References:

- * Intuitionistic Model Constructions & Normalization proofs. Coquand, Dybjer 98

Why the hell is the radio playing "suicide is painless" whilst I'm finishing this?