

Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions

Guillaume ALLAIS

SPLS @ LFCS



Guillaume ALLAIS

Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions- Jun 17

June 17, 2019 page 1 of 23



State Of the Art

N-ary Combinators... for N up to 2 Working with Indexed Families

Requirements

Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions Unification-Friendly Representation N-ary Combinators

Going Further



State Of the Art : N-ary Combinators... for N up to 2

Propositional Equality

Propositional equality as an inductive family:

data
$$_\equiv$$
 {*A* : Set *a*} (*x* : *A*) : *A* \rightarrow Set *a* where refl : *x* \equiv *x*

Congruence and substitution proven by pattern-matching:

cong : $(f : A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow x \equiv y \rightarrow f x \equiv f y$ cong f refl = refl

subst : $(P : A \rightarrow \text{Set } p) \rightarrow x \equiv y \rightarrow P x \rightarrow P y$ subst P refl px = px



State Of the Art : N-ary Combinators... for N up to 2

Binary Versions

Binary congruence and substitution proven by pattern-matching:

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{cong}_2 : (f \colon A \to B \to C) \to \\ x \equiv y \to t \equiv u \to f \, x \, t \equiv f \, y \, u \\ \operatorname{cong}_2 f \operatorname{refl} \operatorname{refl} = \operatorname{refl} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{subst}_2 &: (R : A \to B \to \mathsf{Set} \ p) \to \\ & x \equiv y \to t \equiv u \to R \ x \ t \to R \ y \ u \\ \\ \mathsf{subst}_2 \ P \ \mathsf{refl} \ \mathsf{refl} \ \mathsf{pr} = \mathsf{pr} \end{aligned}$$



State Of the Art : N-ary Combinators... for N up to 2

Wish: N-ary Versions

What we would like to have: n-ary congruence and substitution.

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{cong}_{n} : (f \colon A_{1} \to \cdots \to A_{n} \to B) \to \\ a_{1} \equiv b_{1} \to \cdots \to a_{n} \equiv b_{n} \to \\ f \: a_{1} \cdots \: a_{n} \equiv f \: b_{1} \cdots \: b_{n} \end{array}$$
$$\operatorname{subst}_{n} : (R \colon A_{1} \to \cdots \to A_{n} \to \operatorname{Set} r) \to \end{array}$$

$$a_1 \equiv b_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow a_n \equiv b_n \rightarrow R a_1 \cdots a_n \rightarrow R b_1 \cdots b_n$$



State Of the Art : Working with Indexed Families

List

Example datatype our families will be indexed over:

data List (A : Set a) : Set a where [] : List A_::_ : $A \rightarrow$ List $A \rightarrow$ List A

Predicate transformer: P holds of all the values in the list:

```
data All (P : A \rightarrow \text{Set } p) : List A \rightarrow \text{Set} (a \sqcup p) where

[] : All P []

:: : P x \rightarrow \text{All } P xs \rightarrow \text{All } P (x :: xs)
```



State Of the Art : Working with Indexed Families

Quantifiers

Explicit and implicit universal quantifier:

 $\Pi[_]: (I \rightarrow \text{Set } p) \rightarrow \text{Set} (i \sqcup p)$ $\Pi[P] = \forall i \rightarrow Pi$

 $\forall [_] : (I \rightarrow \text{Set } p) \rightarrow \text{Set} (i \sqcup p)$ $\forall [P] = \forall \{i\} \rightarrow Pi$

Example: if P is universally true, then it holds of all the elements of any list.

```
replicate : \forall [P] \rightarrow \Pi[All P]
replicate p[] = []
replicate p(x :: xs) = p :: replicate p xs
```

University of Strathclyde Science

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER & INFORMATION SCIENCES

State Of the Art : Working with Indexed Families

Lifting of Type Constructors

Lifting implication between Sets to implication between families:

$$\underbrace{\rightarrow}_{(P \Rightarrow Q)} : (I \rightarrow \text{Set } p) \rightarrow (I \rightarrow \text{Set } q) \rightarrow (I \rightarrow \text{Set } (p \sqcup q))$$
$$(P \Rightarrow Q) \ i = P \ i \rightarrow Q \ i$$

Example: Applicative's 'ap' for All:

$$\begin{array}{l} _<\!\!\star\!\!>_: \forall [AII (P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow AII P \Rightarrow AII Q] \\ [] \qquad <\!\!\star\!\!> [] \qquad = [] \\ (f:: fs) <\!\!\star\!\!> (x:: xs) = f x:: (fs <\!\!\star\!\!> xs) \end{array}$$



State Of the Art : Working with Indexed Families

Adjustments To The Ambient Index

Updating the index we are talking about:

$$_\vdash_: (I \rightarrow J) \rightarrow (J \rightarrow \text{Set } p) \rightarrow (I \rightarrow \text{Set } p)$$
$$(I \vdash P) i = P (f i)$$

Example: concat's action on the predicate transformer All:

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{concat}^{+} : \forall [ \ \operatorname{All} \ (\operatorname{All} \ P) \Rightarrow \operatorname{concat} \vdash \operatorname{All} \ P ] \\ \operatorname{concat}^{+} [] = [] \\ \operatorname{concat}^{+} ([] :: pxss) = \operatorname{concat}^{+} pxss \\ \operatorname{concat}^{+} ((px :: pxs) :: pxss) = px :: \operatorname{concat}^{+} (pxs :: pxss) \end{array}
```



Requirements

Wishes

- 1. Reified types of n-ary functions (including level polymorphism)
- 2. Semantics which should be
 - computable (including its Set-level)
 - invertible (to minimise user input)
- 3. Applications: generic programs
 - congruence, substitution
 - combinators for n-ary indexed families



Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Unification

Use case

Mechanical process to reconstruct missing values:

- Implicit arguments
- Boring details the programmer left out

Principled: the generated solutions (if any) are unique.

- ▶ Unification Problems: *lhs* ≈ *rhs*
 - ?a stands for a metavariable
 - $e[?a_1, \dots, ?a_n]$ for expression *e* mentioning $?a_1$ to $?a_n$
 - $c e_1 \cdots e_n$ for a constructor c applied to n expressions

University of Strathclyde Science

Unification Tests

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER & INFORMATION SCIENCES

Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Agda does unification all the time.

It is easy for us to ask Agda to solve unification problems

- Leave out values to create metavariables
- State that two expressions are equal to start a unification problem

For instance, $(?A \rightarrow ?B) \approx (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$ and $(?A \rightarrow ?A) \approx (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$

$$\begin{array}{ll} _:(_ \rightarrow _) \equiv (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) & _: \mathsf{let} ?A = _\mathsf{in} (?A \rightarrow ?A) \equiv (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \\ _= \mathsf{refl} & _= \mathsf{refl} \end{array}$$





Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Instantiation

Problem: $?a \approx e[?a_1 \cdots ?a_n]$

Unifying a meta-variable with an expression.

- 1. Make sure ?a does not appear in $?a_1, \dots, ?a_n$
- 2. Instantiate ?a to $e[?a_1 \cdots ?a_n]$
- 3. Discard the problem

Example:





Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Constructor Headed Problems

Problem: $c e_1 \cdots e_m \approx d f_1 \cdots f_n$

Unifying two constructor-headed expressions.

- 1. Make sure the constructors *c* and *d* are equal
- 2. This means *m* equals *n*
- 3. Replace problem with subproblems $(e_1 \approx f_1) \cdots (e_m \approx f_n)$

Example:

 $_:(\mathbb{N}\rightarrow_)\equiv(\mathbb{N}\rightarrow\mathbb{N})$ $_=\mathsf{refl}$



Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Avoid Computations... Unless (Part I)

Avoid generating unification problems involving recursive functions.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{nary} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set} & _: \mathsf{nary} __ \equiv (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ \mathsf{nary zero} & A = A \\ \mathsf{nary} (\mathsf{suc} n) & A = \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{nary} n A & _ = & \hline \mathsf{refl} \end{array}$



Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Avoid Computations... Unless (Part I)

Avoid generating unification problems involving recursive functions.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{nary} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set} & _: \mathsf{nary} _ _ \equiv (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ \mathsf{nary zero} & A = A \\ \mathsf{nary} (\mathsf{suc} n) & A = \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{nary} n A & _ = \boxed{\mathsf{refl}} \end{array}$

Unless the recursion goes away in the cases you are interested in.

 $\begin{array}{ll} _: nary \ 0 \ _ \equiv (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ _= refl \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} _: nary \ 1 \ _ \equiv (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ _ = refl \end{array}$



Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Avoid Computations... Unless (Part II)

Avoid generating unification problems involving recursive functions.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{nary} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set} & _: \mathsf{nary} \sqsubseteq (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \equiv (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ \mathsf{nary} \ \mathsf{zero} & A = A \\ \mathsf{nary} \ (\mathsf{suc} \ n) \ A = \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{nary} \ n \ A & _ = \boxed{\mathsf{refl}} \end{array}$



Getting Acquainted With the Unifier

Avoid Computations... Unless (Part II)

Avoid generating unification problems involving recursive functions.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{nary} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set} & _: \mathsf{nary} \sqsubseteq (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \equiv (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \\ \mathsf{nary} \ \mathsf{zero} & A = A \\ \mathsf{nary} \ (\mathsf{suc} \ n) \ A = \mathbb{N} \to \mathsf{nary} \ n \ A & _ = \boxed{\mathsf{refl}} \end{array}$

Unless the recursion is trivially invertible.

University of Strathclyde Science

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER & INFORMATION SCIENCES

Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions

Design Constraints

We want to

- Define representation of *n*-ary functions
- Give it a semantics (here called [])

Such that when faced with constraints involving concrete types, Agda can easily reconstruct the representation.

Example: recover ?r from $[?r] \approx (\mathbb{N} \to \text{Set})$



Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions : Unification-Friendly Representation

Representation

```
Levels : \mathbb{N} \to \text{Set}
Levels zero = \top
Levels (suc n) = Level × Levels n
```



Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions : Unification-Friendly Representation

```
Levels : \mathbb{N} \to \text{Set}
Levels zero = \top
Levels (suc n) = Level × Levels n
```



Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions : Unification-Friendly Representation

```
Levels : \mathbb{N} \to \text{Set}\square : \forall n \to \text{Levels } n \to \text{Level}Levels zero = \top\square zero \_ = 0\ellLevels (suc n) = Level × Levels n\square (\text{suc } n) (I, Is) = I \sqcup (\bigsqcup n Is)
```

```
Sets : \forall n (ls : Levels n) \rightarrow Set (Level.suc ([] n ls))
Sets zero _ = Lift _ T
Sets (suc n) (I, ls) = Set I × Sets n ls
```



Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions : Unification-Friendly Representation

```
RepresentationLevels : \mathbb{N} \to \text{Set}\square : \forall n \to \text{Levels } n \to \text{Level}Levels zero = \top\square : \forall n \to \text{Levels } n \to \text{Level}Levels (suc n) = Level × Levels n\square (\text{suc n}) (1, ls) = I \sqcup (\square n ls)
```

```
Sets : \forall n (ls : Levels n) \rightarrow Set (Level.suc ([] n ls))
Sets zero _ = Lift _ T
Sets (suc n) (I, ls) = Set I × Sets n ls
```

```
Arrows : \forall n \{ls\} \rightarrow \text{Sets } n \ ls \rightarrow \text{Set } r \rightarrow \text{Set } (r \sqcup (\bigsqcup n \ ls))
Arrows zero \_ b = b
Arrows (suc n) (a, as) b = a \rightarrow Arrows n as b
```



Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions : N-ary Combinators

Congruence

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Cong}_{n}: \forall n \{ls\} \{as: \operatorname{Sets} n \, ls\} \{R: \operatorname{Set} r\} \rightarrow \\ (fg: \operatorname{Arrows} n \, as \, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Set} (r \sqcup (\bigsqcup n \, ls)) \\ \operatorname{Cong}_{n} \operatorname{zero} \quad fg = f \equiv g \\ \operatorname{Cong}_{n} (\operatorname{suc} n) \, fg = \forall \{x \, y\} \rightarrow x \equiv y \rightarrow \operatorname{Cong}_{n} n \, (fx) \, (g \, y) \\ \\ \operatorname{cong}_{n}: \forall n \{ls\} \{as: \operatorname{Sets} n \, ls\} \{R: \operatorname{Set} r\} \rightarrow \\ (f: \operatorname{Arrows} n \, as \, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Cong}_{n} n \, ff \\ \operatorname{cong}_{n} \operatorname{zero} \quad f \quad = \operatorname{refl} \end{array}
```

 $cong_n (suc n) f refl = cong_n n (f_)$



Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions : N-ary Combinators

Lifting of Type Constructors

 $_\Rightarrow_$: Arrows $n \{ls\}$ as (Set r) → Arrows n as (Set s) → Arrows n as (Set $(r \sqcup s)$) $_\Rightarrow_$ = lift₂ $_{}(\lambda A B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B))$



Generic Level Polymorphic N-ary Functions : N-ary Combinators

Adjustments To The Ambient Index

$$\begin{array}{l} _\%_\vdash_: \forall n \{ls\} \{as: \text{Sets } n \, ls\} \rightarrow (I \rightarrow J) \rightarrow \\ \text{Arrows } n \, as \, (J \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \text{Arrows } n \, as \, (I \rightarrow B) \\ \text{zero } \%=f\vdash g=g \circ f \\ \text{suc } n\%=f\vdash g=(n\%=f\vdash_) \circ g \end{array}$$



Going Further

Results

Draft: https://gallais.github.io/pdf/tyde19_draft.pdf

- Already merged in the standard library:
 - Unification-friendly representation of n-ary functions and products
 - Proofs of n-ary congruence and substitution
 - Combinators for n-ary relations and functions
 - Direct style printf
- Coming up:
 - n-ary version of zipWith & friends
- Future work:
 - Dependent n-ary functions and products



Appendix

Printf

```
\begin{array}{l} \mbox{data Chunk : Set where} \\ \mbox{Nat} : \mbox{Chunk} \\ \mbox{Raw : String} \rightarrow \mbox{Chunk} \end{array}
```

Format : Set Format = List Chunk

```
format : (fmt : Format) \rightarrow Sets (size fmt) 0\ells
format [] = _____
format (Nat :: f) = \mathbb{N}, format f
format (Raw _ :: f) = format f
```

```
assemble : \forall fmt \rightarrow \text{Product} \_ (\text{format } fmt) \rightarrow \text{List String}

assemble [] vs = []

assemble (Nat :: fmt) (n, vs) = show n :: assemble fmt vs

assemble (Raw s :: fmt) vs = s :: assemble fmt vs
```

```
printf : \forall fmt \rightarrow Arrows _ (format fmt) String
printf fmt = curry<sub>n</sub> (size fmt) (concat \circ assemble fmt)
```